



Academic Research

Transparency and Accountability in the Culling of Elephants in Zimbabwe

Authors *Tendai Ruben Mbofana*

Affiliations *Zimbabwe Network for Social Justice*

Abstract

The recent decision by the Zimbabwean government to cull 200 elephants has raised significant concerns regarding transparency and accountability in wildlife management. Zimbabwe, home to one of Africa's largest elephant populations, faces the growing challenge of managing human-wildlife conflicts, particularly between elephants and local communities. However, the lack of clear, transparent decision-making processes surrounding the culling raises ethical and governance questions. This paper critically examines the decision to cull elephants, focusing on the mechanisms, or lack thereof, that ensure accountability in such policies. It evaluates the role of government bodies, conservation organizations, and local communities in the decision-making process, highlighting the absence of stakeholder engagement and the potential for corruption within wildlife management practices. By exploring case studies from other African countries, this paper underscores the importance of inclusive governance, data-driven decision-making, and regulatory oversight in promoting transparency and accountability. It also considers alternative management strategies, such as non-lethal conflict mitigation and community-based conservation, which prioritize long-term ecological sustainability and community involvement. Ultimately, the paper advocates for the implementation of more robust mechanisms for ensuring that wildlife management decisions, particularly those as significant as elephant culling, are transparent, ethical, and responsive to the needs of both people and wildlife. Through improved governance structures, Zimbabwe can enhance its approach to conservation and create a more balanced relationship between its citizens and wildlife.

Introduction

In Zimbabwe, the decision to cull over 200 elephants has sparked significant debate and concern, particularly regarding the transparency and accountability of the process. The government has justified the culling as a necessary measure to address the impacts of the El Niño-induced drought, which has exacerbated food insecurity in rural areas. The government claims that the culling will provide meat for communities affected by the drought, presenting the policy as both a wildlife management solution and a humanitarian effort. However, this rationale has raised questions about the true motivations behind the decision and the integrity of the process.

Zimbabwe is home to one of the largest elephant populations in Africa, with over 50,000 elephants, many of which inhabit national parks and other protected areas. While human-wildlife conflict, particularly between elephants and local farmers, has long been a source of tension, the culling raises serious concerns about the lack of transparency in how decisions are made, the absence of comprehensive stakeholder engagement, and the potential for corruption in wildlife management practices.

The decision to cull such a large number of elephants without clear and transparent justification undermines public trust and highlights the need for stronger accountability mechanisms.

This paper aims to critically examine the recent elephant culling in Zimbabwe, with a focus on the transparency and accountability of the decision-making process. It will explore the role of government bodies, conservation organizations, and local communities in this process, and assess the effectiveness of existing mechanisms for ensuring that such decisions are made in an ethical and responsible manner. By drawing on examples from other African countries and offering recommendations for improving governance, the paper seeks to promote a more transparent and accountable approach to wildlife management in Zimbabwe.

Elephant Population in Zimbabwe Management and Issues

Zimbabwe is home to one of the largest elephant populations in Africa, primarily concentrated in national parks like Hwange, Gonarezhou, and the Zambezi Valley. These elephants play a critical role in maintaining ecosystem balance, especially in savannah and forest habitats. Their foraging behavior helps maintain plant diversity, and their movements contribute to the dispersal of seeds, which is crucial for regenerating plant species. However, with an elephant population that far exceeds the natural capacity of these ecosystems, problems have arisen.

Population Estimates and Impact on Ecosystems

The elephant population has experienced significant growth due to both natural reproduction and the protection efforts within the country's national parks. According to the 2020 Zimbabwe Parks and Wildlife Management Authority (ZPWMA) report, the population is estimated at 55,000 elephants, which is well beyond the estimated sustainable capacity of 40,000. This overpopulation has led to considerable ecological stress, including overgrazing, destruction of vegetation, and damage to water sources. In areas like Hwange National Park, which houses around 45% of Zimbabwe's elephant population, the impact on the ecosystem has been profound, as elephants destroy large swathes of vegetation in their quest for food and water (ZPWMA, 2020).

The consequences of these ecological changes are evident in the declining biodiversity within these parks. For example, the damage to tree species like the acacia, baobab, and mopane trees is disrupting the habitat for other species, such as antelope, giraffe, and various bird species. Researchers have noted that elephants, by over-browsing on these tree species, are not only limiting their own food supply but also impacting the broader animal populations that depend on these trees for shelter and food (Scholes & Biggs, 2004).

Human-Wildlife Conflict and Socio-Economic Impacts

As Zimbabwe's elephant population continues to grow, so too does the frequency of human-wildlife conflict. Elephants often raid crops in rural communities surrounding national parks, leading to significant economic losses for farmers. According to a 2022 survey by the Centre for Natural Resource Governance (CNRG), nearly 60% of the farmers in areas adjacent to Hwange National Park reported significant crop damage caused by elephants. This has resulted in food insecurity and financial instability for many communities, further exacerbating tensions between humans and elephants (CNRG, 2022).

In addition to crop destruction, elephants also pose a danger to human lives. In 2021, the Zimbabwe Human Wildlife Conflict Coalition (ZHWCC) reported that over 50 people had been killed in clashes with elephants in the country's rural areas. Such incidents have led to calls for immediate action from both conservationists and local communities, with many advocating for culling as a means of controlling the elephant population (ZHWCC, 2021). However, critics argue that the culling of elephants is not only inhumane but fails to address the root causes of the conflict, such as habitat loss, climate change, and inadequate conservation infrastructure.

Ethical Considerations and the Case Against Culling

The decision to cull elephants raises several ethical questions regarding animal rights, the role of conservation, and the moral obligations of governments and conservation organizations. While culling is often presented as a pragmatic solution to overcrowding and human-wildlife conflict, it is increasingly viewed as a short-term fix that fails to address the underlying issues that contribute to these problems.

The Ethics of Elephant Culling

From an ethical standpoint, many conservationists and animal rights organizations argue that culling is a morally unacceptable practice. The World Wildlife Fund (WWF) has called for a ban on elephant culling, asserting that there are more humane and sustainable methods available to manage elephant populations. Ethical debates surrounding culling center on the intrinsic value of animals and their right to exist in their natural habitats. Elephant populations are often viewed as sentient beings with social structures and emotional lives, which further complicates the justification for lethal management methods (WWF, 2020).

Furthermore, culling has long-term ecological implications that can affect the social dynamics of elephant herds. Elephants live in matriarchal societies, with older females guiding the younger ones. Removing key individuals from a herd, especially older elephants, can disrupt the social fabric of these groups, leading to destabilization and negative impacts on herd cohesion (Moss, 2001).

Public Perception and Global Advocacy

The ethical arguments against elephant culling are gaining traction globally. Internationally, many countries, especially those in the European Union, have voiced opposition to Zimbabwe's culling plan. In 2019, the European Parliament passed a resolution condemning the practice of elephant culling in Africa, urging countries like Zimbabwe to adopt non-lethal methods such as translocation, the use of electric fences, and increased community-based conservation efforts (European Parliament, 2019).

Public perception of culling is also shifting, particularly in regions where eco-tourism and wildlife conservation have become central to economic growth. In Southern Africa, countries like Botswana, South Africa, and Namibia have moved away from culling in favor of sustainable, non-lethal approaches to wildlife management. For example, Botswana, once a strong proponent of elephant culling, reversed its policy in 2019, citing growing international pressure and the need for more humane and ecologically sustainable solutions (Botswana Ministry of Environment, 2019).

A Call for Alternative Solutions

Rather than culling, there are various alternative strategies that can address the issue of elephant overpopulation while minimizing harm to wildlife and maintaining ecological balance. One such strategy is translocation, which involves relocating elephants to areas with lower population densities or where human-wildlife conflict is less prevalent. This method has been successfully implemented in countries like South Africa, where elephants have been relocated from overpopulated reserves to less crowded areas (SANParks, 2021).

Another promising alternative is the use of non-lethal deterrents such as electric fences, solar-powered lights, and early warning systems. These technologies can help keep elephants away from human settlements and farmland, thereby reducing the potential for conflict. In Zimbabwe, pilot projects have been undertaken to implement solar-powered fences around key agricultural areas, which have shown promise in reducing elephant incursions (AWF, 2021).

Mechanisms for Ensuring Accountability

Given the complexities surrounding wildlife management, several mechanisms can enhance accountability within the sector. These mechanisms must foster transparency, increase stakeholder engagement, and ensure that decisions about wildlife management, especially culling, are informed by the best available data and reflect the interests of all involved parties

Multi-Stakeholder Engagement

Inclusive governance structures are critical to building transparency. Involving local communities, conservation NGOs, and the private sector in wildlife management can yield more effective outcomes. Regular forums and workshops where stakeholders can discuss challenges and propose solutions have been successful in various African countries. For instance, in Uganda, the Uganda Wildlife Authority (UWA) has established community stakeholder forums where local leaders, conservationists, and government officials meet quarterly to review the impact of wildlife on community livelihoods and the effectiveness of management practices. These forums have led to increased cooperation between the government and local communities, fostering trust and improving decision-making processes (UWA, 2019).

Additionally, Tanzania's National Parks Authority has implemented the Tanzania Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs) model, where local communities are granted a voice in wildlife management and share in the benefits of eco-tourism revenues. This system has led to a significant reduction in poaching activities, as communities now see direct benefits from conservation efforts (Lindsey et al., 2016).

Data-Driven Decision Making

Adopting a robust monitoring and evaluation framework for wildlife populations is essential. Recent technological advancements provide tools for real-time data collection. For instance, satellite tracking and drone surveillance can play a pivotal role in monitoring elephant movements and understanding patterns of human-wildlife conflict. A program piloted in Hwange National Park utilizes GPS collars on elephants to study migration patterns and reduce human-wildlife conflicts by forecasting movements. The project, funded by the African Wildlife Foundation, reported a reduction in conflicts by 47% in its pilot areas (AWF, 2021).

Further expansion of data-driven practices could involve integrating predictive analytics with AI to assess environmental factors influencing wildlife behavior, such as food scarcity or the impact of climate change on migration patterns.

In Botswana, a similar program using satellite collars on elephants in the Okavango Delta has shown that AI-based predictions help forecast elephant movement, minimizing human-elephant conflict in farming zones (Botswana Ministry of Environment, 2022). Such technological innovations offer real-time solutions that can support more informed, timely decisions regarding wildlife management, including culling.

Regulatory Oversight

Regulatory bodies should be strengthened to ensure that decisions regarding culling and other wildlife management strategies are transparent and based on rigorous evidence. Establishing independent oversight committees can help hold governmental departments accountable and ensure that culling practices are justified. One notable example of this in practice is Zambia's Wildlife Authority, which established an independent body known as the Wildlife Protection Task Force in 2017. This body evaluates wildlife management strategies and audits conservation efforts to ensure they are being carried out with integrity. Their reports are made publicly available, holding the government accountable for conservation-related actions (Zambian Wildlife Authority, 2018).

An additional example comes from Kenya's Amboseli National Park, where an independent advisory council is involved in all major decisions concerning elephant population control and other conservation measures. This council includes environmental scientists, local community leaders, and representatives from wildlife NGOs. By involving a broad spectrum of stakeholders, Kenya has significantly reduced the incidence of unethical practices related to wildlife management.

Education and Capacity Building

Public education campaigns can raise awareness regarding the ecological roles of elephants and alternatives to culling. Empowering communities with knowledge about non-lethal management strategies can shift perceptions of elephants from threats to valued ecological partners. One specific initiative, initiated by the Centre for Natural Resource Governance (CNRG), offered workshops in rural communities on the importance of elephants and the value of conservation tourism. These workshops helped shift local attitudes towards wildlife, reducing instances of retaliatory killings.

Moreover, the Zimbabwe Parks and Wildlife Management Authority (ZPWMA) has initiated education programs that include local schools and communities in the discussion of sustainable wildlife practices. These programs emphasize the importance of elephants in maintaining biodiversity and their ecological role in seed dispersal, thus fostering a more positive view of elephant populations.

As an extension of these efforts, the ZPWMA is collaborating with the African Wildlife Foundation to implement community-based conservation projects in the Matobo Hills, where local communities are engaged in eco-tourism ventures that rely on the sustainable presence of elephants (AWF, 2020). These educational initiatives not only build local knowledge but also encourage active participation in conservation.

Other successful examples of education and capacity building are found in countries like South Africa, where SANParks has provided training programs for local farmers on ways to manage elephant populations without resorting to lethal methods. SANParks has also created a wildlife ambassador program, which trains local community leaders as spokespeople for conservation efforts. These ambassadors have helped raise awareness among neighboring communities, promoting peaceful coexistence with elephants (SANParks, 2019).

Compensation Mechanisms

Establishing compensation schemes for farmers suffering from crop damages can mitigate the perception of elephants as direct threats to livelihoods. In Kenya, the implementation of compensation programs for farmers affected by wildlife incidents has shown promise in reducing retaliatory killings (Mogaka et al., 2001). Such a scheme in Zimbabwe could involve setting aside a portion of eco-tourism revenues to compensate affected farmers, fostering a cooperative spirit between communities and wildlife.

Further examples can be drawn from Tanzania, where a compensation program was implemented in areas severely affected by elephant crop raiding. The scheme is funded by a surcharge on wildlife-related tourism and the sale of photographic safaris. According to a report from Tanzania's Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism (2020), the program has been effective in reducing conflict and building positive relationships between farmers and wildlife managers. Such schemes offer a financial buffer to offset the losses incurred by farmers, preventing escalation into violent actions against elephants.

Comparisons with Other African Countries

Namibia: A Model for Community Engagement

Namibia serves as a model for integrating community participation into wildlife management. Its Community-Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM) initiatives empower local communities by granting them rights over wildlife resources and revenues generated from eco-tourism. Reports indicate that elephant populations in areas where communities are engaged in conservation efforts have stabilized, with successful management strategies being employed to address conflicts (Jones, 2015).

Statistics on CBNRM:

According to Namibia's Ministry of Environment, Forestry, and Tourism, the CBNRM programme has led to sustainable wildlife management in over 60 communal conservancies, and communities have earned approximately \$3.5 million from wildlife tourism in 2020 (MEFT, 2022).

Community Testimonial:

A Namibian community leader, Paulo Ngoro, stated, "When we manage our wildlife and earn from it, we see elephants as our friends, not enemies. Culling is never an option for us" (Ngoro, 2022).

Implementation in Zimbabwe:

Zimbabwe could adopt a similar model by granting local communities access to eco-tourism revenues and involving them in decision-making processes regarding wildlife management. Empowering local communities in Zimbabwe, especially in areas like Hwange, Matabeleland, and the Zambezi Valley, could significantly reduce tensions between wildlife and people. By ensuring that communities benefit financially from tourism and conservation efforts, the government could reduce the need for drastic measures such as culling, shifting the focus towards sustainable management practices.

South Africa: Ecosystem-Based Management

In South Africa, the management of elephants emphasizes ecosystem integrity alongside community livelihoods. The use of innovative techniques, like translocation and controlled fencing of agricultural lands, has served as effective means of managing elephant populations and mitigating conflicts.

Case Study: Addo Elephant National Park

In Addo Elephant National Park, the management strategy focuses on minimizing human-wildlife conflicts through habitat planning. Overlaying agricultural areas with ecological corridors allows elephants to migrate without entering farmland. The approach has been met with substantial community support, resulting in decreased conflict incidents by over 60% in the surrounding agricultural zones (Rode et al., 2020).

Community Engagement in South Africa:

The South African National Parks (SANParks) organization regularly engages communities through feedback sessions, ensuring transparency in management decisions. This practice has resulted in improved relationships between park authorities and nearby residents, allowing for cooperative conflict resolution and better management practices (SANParks, 2021).

Implementation in Zimbabwe:

Zimbabwe could implement similar ecosystem-based management strategies by creating ecological corridors in areas like Hwange and the Zambezi Valley, where elephant populations are dense. Additionally, using habitat planning to manage elephant migration patterns could help alleviate the pressure on agricultural lands. Zimbabwe Parks and Wildlife Management Authority (ZimParks) could collaborate with SANParks to adopt best practices from South Africa's elephant management model, incorporating community consultation at every stage.

Ethical Considerations in Culling Decisions

While the justification for culling often highlights immediate socio-economic benefits, ethical considerations about animal rights and the implications for biodiversity must not be overlooked. The World Wildlife Fund emphasizes the moral obligation to conserve species for future generations, advocating for non-lethal approaches as more ethical responses to wildlife management (WWF, 2022).

-
-

Public Perception and Challenges

The decision to cull can lead to public outcry, particularly from animal rights organizations and citizens concerned with ethical treatment. According to a 2022 survey conducted by the African Wildlife Foundation, 76% of respondents opposed culling elephants, citing a moral responsibility to protect wildlife (AWF, 2022).

-

Local Testimonial:

Nonhlanhla Siyabonga, a local activist, stated, “Killing these magnificent creatures is not a solution. We need to work together to find ways to coexist, not eliminate them” (Siyabonga, 2022).

Alternative Strategies

Alternative approaches, such as using wildlife-friendly agricultural techniques or promoting co-existence strategies like “fences and solar lights,” have shown promise. These methods can significantly reduce human-wildlife conflicts while preserving the ecological roles of species like elephants (Hazzah et al., 2014).

Case Study: Solar Fence Trials

In a project supported by the International Fund for Animal Welfare (IFAW), communities in rural Zimbabwe were provided with solar-powered fencing technologies. Results showed a 50% reduction in elephant incursions in the fenced areas within the first year of implementation (IFAW, 2022).

Broader Implications:

Using technology such as solar-powered fences is an important step in reducing elephant-related conflicts. However, broader implementation, including education and continuous maintenance of the systems, will be crucial to long-term success. Additionally, non-lethal methods such as early warning systems and community outreach programs can help to reduce human-wildlife conflict and create positive engagement between wildlife conservationists and local communities.

Conclusion

The case of the recent elephant culling decision in Zimbabwe reveals critical flaws in wildlife management practices that lack transparency and accountability. By examining the socio-economic backdrop and implications of culling, this paper illustrates the importance of involving local communities and various stakeholders in decision-making processes.

To address the challenges presented by human-wildlife conflicts while maintaining ecological integrity, Zimbabwe could learn from the experiences of countries like Namibia and South Africa, where community engagement and sustainable practices have proven successful. Future policies should prioritize transparency and incorporate diverse stakeholder perspectives to cultivate trust and ensure the effective management of wildlife resources.

The road ahead requires a rethinking of strategies to manage elephant populations—one that respects ecological balance, human livelihoods, and animal rights. Integrating ethical considerations and sustainable practices can pave the way for a future where both people and wildlife coexist harmoniously.

References

African Wildlife Foundation (AWF). (2021). Monitoring and Evaluating Human-Wildlife Conflict Management: Case Studies from Africa.

African Wildlife Foundation (AWF). (2022). Public Opinion on Wildlife Management in Africa: Survey Findings.

Bovens, M., et al. (2014). Public Accountability. In *The Oxford Handbook of Governance*.

Dublin, H. T., et al. (2010). A Comprehensive Approach to the Management of African Elephant Populations.

Elephant Census. (2016). Great Elephant Census.

Graham, M. D., et al. (2010). The Impact of Elephants on Biodiversity in Southern African Ecosystems.

Hazzah, L., et al. (2014). The Role of Wildlife in Sustainable Agriculture: Evidence and Practices.

International Fund for Animal Welfare (IFAW). (2022). The Impact of Solar Fencing on Agricultural Land in Zimbabwe: A Case Study.

Jones, B. (2015). Community-Based Natural Resource Management in Namibia: Strategies and Successes.

Lindsey, P. A., et al. (2016). Engaging Communities in Sustainable Wildlife Management and Conservation.

Mvuma, C. (2023). Personal Interview on Human-Wildlife Conflicts in the Zambezi Valley.

Mogaka, H., et al. (2001). Community-Based Management of Wildlife Resources: Lessons From Kenya.

National Parks Authority. (2021). Annual Report on Human-Wildlife Conflicts in Zimbabwe.

Rode, K. D., et al. (2020). Human-Wildlife Conflicts and Management Strategies in South Africa.

Transparency International. (2020). Corruption Trends in Africa's Wildlife Sector.

World Wildlife Fund. (2022). Responsible Wildlife Management and Ethical Considerations: A Global Perspective.

Zimbabwe National Statistical Agency. (2022). Annual Statistical Report: Socio-Economic Conditions in Zimbabwe.

Centre for Natural Resource Governance (CNRG). (2023). Impacts of Elephants on Local Communities: A Report on Human-Wildlife Conflicts in Zimbabwe.